

Yolo County Superior Court, ADR Program
CONFIDENTIAL EVALUATION BY ATTORNEY

In accordance with ADR Program procedures, please submit evaluation by mail or fax within 10 days of completion of the ADR process.

ADR Administrator
725 Court Street, Rm. 103
Woodland, Ca. 95695

Fax: 530-406-6734

This confidential information will be used to assess the impact on the court, to track quality, and to inform our decisions regarding redesign of program procedures. Other staff and trial judges do not see specific evaluations. This information will be aggregated for blind statistical reports to the Judicial Council, the Court and the Community.

Case Name: _____ Case Number: _____
Type of Case: _____ Name of Neutral: _____

1. I am: ☐ Plaintiff's Attorney ☐ Defendant's Attorney ☐ Other: _____

I participated in an ADR session: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you answered NO above, please indicate the reason(s) why below. If you answered YES continue to question 2:

☐ Parties unwilling ☐ Not yet scheduled ☐ Other, describe: _____

2. ADR process used in case (indicate if more than one): ☐ Mediation ☐ Judicial Arbitration
☐ Private Arbitration ☐ Early Settlement Conference ☐ Other: _____

3. Please indicate if the case resolved:
☐ Fully ☐ Partially ☐ Did Not Resolve

4. If the case resolved, how much of a factor was an ADR process in the settlement of the case:

Not a Factor.....Very Important
1 2 3 4 5

5. Total # of sessions _____ Approx total # of hours _____ Approx # of follow up calls _____

6. How many days elapsed (estimate) from the filing of the complaint to the ADR session? _____

7. Indicate at what phase the ADR session occurred (indicate if more than one)
☐ Within 4 months of filing ☐ After some preliminary discovery
☐ After significant amount of discovery ☐ Trial was imminent

8. Which of the following court events were avoided because of the ADR session(s)?

- ☐ Discovery Motion(s) ☐ Summary Judgment Motion ☐ Trial
☐ Deposition(s) ☐ Trial Readiness Conference ☐ Other _____
☐ CMC ☐ Mandatory Settlement Conference

9. In your opinion, using ADR in this case: ☐ Reduced or ☐ Increased costs for each party (apart from the neutral's fees) by:

- ☐ Under \$5,000 ☐ \$5-\$10,000 ☐ \$10-\$25,000 ☐ \$25-\$50,000
☐ \$50-\$100,000 ☐ \$100-\$250,000 ☐ \$250-\$500,000 ☐ Other _____

10. In your opinion, using the ADR process in this case,

- ☐ Reduced court time ☐ Increased court time

Please estimate the number of days court time was reduced/increased as a result of the parties going to ADR. (Consider the decrease/increase in the number of court days relative to discovery, motions, settlement conferences and trial.)

- ☐ 1-3 days ☐ 3-5 days ☐ 5-10 days ☐ 10-20 days ☐ 20+ days

11. Please indicate which, if any, of the following occurred during the ADR session: Please check all that apply.

- ☐ Communication between the parties was improved.
☐ Parties came away with a better understanding of the case.
☐ Parties clarified, resolved and eliminated some issues.
☐ If the case did not resolve, nevertheless it proved helpful and timesaving preparing for trial.
☐ Other Comments: _____

On a scale of 1 to 5, 1 being the lowest level and 5 being the highest level, please indicate your satisfaction by rating the following statements:

12. This process was fair to all parties.	1	2	3	4	5
13. This process allowed all to be heard.	1	2	3	4	5
14. This process offered a safe secure setting.	1	2	3	4	5
15. I did not feel unduly pressured by the neutral to reach an agreement.	1	2	3	4	5
16. The neutral skillfully structured the process.	1	2	3	4	5
17. The neutral understood the key issues.	1	2	3	4	5
18. I would use this neutral again.	1	2	3	4	5
19. I would use this ADR program again.	1	2	3	4	5

We welcome any other comments or suggestions you may have regarding the ADR neutral used in this case or about the ADR program. Your evaluation is important to us.

Thank you

David M. Blicher
ADR Administrator-